

\$~9.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5343/2014**

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-IV Petitioner
Through: Counsel (Appearance not given)

versus

INCOME TAX SETTLEMENT COMMISSION
& ANR. Respondents
Through: Ms. Garima Goel, Advocate.

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER
25.04.2016

%

CM No. 10632 of 2014(exemption)

1. Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 5343/2014

2. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 informs the Court that the present writ petition is directed against the interim order passed by the Income Tax Settlement Commission (ITSC). However, the final order passed by the ITSC is against the Respondent No.2. As a result the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the above statement, the writ petition is dismissed as having

become infructuous.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 25, 2016/mg