

\$~30 & 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 2935/2016, C.M. NOS. 12270-12271/2016**
USHA RANI Petitioner
versus
REGISTRAR OF COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES & ORS
..... Respondents
+ **W.P.(C) 2941/2016, C.M. NOS.12284-12285/2016**
S.C. TULI Petitioner
versus
REGISTRAR COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES AND ORS
..... Respondents
Through : Sh. Mohd. Azhar, Advocate, for the
petitioner in Item Nos. 30 and 31.
Sh. Ajay Kumar, for Ms. Swaty. S. Malik,
Advocate, for Respondent No. 1 in Item Nos. 30
and 31.
Sh. Sunil Sabharwal with Sh. S.K. Kaushik and
Sh. Chirag Babbar, Advocates, for Respondent
No.4 in Item No.30.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER
% **04.04.2016**

The petitioners have approached this Court claiming to be aggrieved by the inaction of the Financial Commissioner. The petitioners had initially approached the Financial Commissioner in a revision proceeding against an order of execution of the award.

The grounds urged are not of such a nature as to require intervention of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. It is open, however, to the petitioners to approach the

concerned authority, i.e. the executing Court or the Financial Commissioner, and make such deposit as is necessary under the circumstances, having regard to the sealing order in respect of their flats. In case an offer is made, it shall be considered on its merits. The writ petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

APRIL 04, 2016
ájk