

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1215/2016

AJIT SINGH MAKER

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms. Rebeca M. John, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Vishal Gosain, Adv.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Panna Lal Sharma, APP for
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KAIT

ORDER

%

07.04.2016

1. By way of the present petition, filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., petitioner seeks directions thereby directing the trial court to expedite the trial in case bearing no. M.NO. 01/15 titled as ACMM, South East District, and Through Reader Ms. S. Sreelata v. Pritpal Singh.

2. For the aforesaid relief, petitioner earlier filed an Petition vide Crl. M.C. 2416/2015, same was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 21.07.2015 by observing as under:

“Keeping in view the averments made in the instant petition and submissions of learned counsel for petitioner, this Court expects from learned trial court to decide the case expeditiously.

3. Thereafter, matter was listed before the trial court on 15.10.2015 and recorded as under:

“Matter today is fixed for arguments on charge and appearance of accused; however, it is informed by the ld. Counsel for the parties that with the intervention of friends and relatives, both parties being brothers are trying to explore possibilities of settlement in all 4-5 case pending between them. It is informed that there was all possibility that the entire dispute might be settled. A long date is hence prayed.”

4. The matter was thereafter taken up on 06.02.2016 and on joint request recorded as under:

“It is submitted by Proxy Counsel for accused that settlement talks inter-se are still going on and some more time was required for finalization of the modalities.”

5. Thereafter the matter was taken up on 30.03.2016, the ld. Trial Court recorded that there is no possibility of settlement. Accordingly, at joint request matter was put up for arguments on the point of notice / charge on 25.05.2016.

6. In view of above, no further direction is required to be passed. However, ld. Trial Court shall keep in mind the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 21.07.2015.

7. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of

8. *Dasti.*

SURESH KAIT, J

APRIL 07, 2016

jg