

45

\$~31 to 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 530/2013

31 PRITI PRATAP SINGH Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi and Ms.
Shubhi Kharl, Advs.

versus

THE SARISKA PALACE & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 491/2014

32 PRITI PRATAP SINGH Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi and Ms.
Shubhi Kharl, Advs.

versus

THE SARISKA PALACE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: None.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 492/2014

33 PRITI PRATAP SINGH Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi and Ms.
Shubhi Kharl, Advs.

versus

THE SARISKA PALACE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.R. MIDHA

ORDER

% **27.01.2016**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she has no instructions from the petitioner in these matters. It is further submitted that a letter dated

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By:AMULYA

19th January, 2016 was sent to the petitioner but no response has been

46

received in respect thereof. Copy of letter dated 19th January, 2016 has been placed on record.

2. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue these petitions.
3. The petitions are dismissed in default.



J.R. MIDHA, J.

JANUARY 27, 2016
ak