

4

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 6994/2011 & CM APPL. 16026/2011

ANAND MINING CORPORATION AND ANR Petitioners
Through: Mr. Harsh Pathak, Advocate with
Mr. Mohit Choubey and Mr. Siddhartha
Shukla, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Advocate for UOI.
Mr. Abhimjany singh, Advocate for
respondent No.6.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

10.02.2016

Learned counsel for respondent has raised preliminary objection of forum non-conveniences. It is contended that although this Court has jurisdiction, it would be more appropriate for the petition to be filed before the Madhya Pradesh High Court. Learned counsel has further referred to the decision of this Court delivered on 26th November, 2013 in **LPA 801/2012** titled as ***Chinteshwar Steel Pvt. Ltd. vs. Union of India & Ors.***

Learned counsel for petitioners expressed an apprehension that the writ petition before the Madhya Pradesh High Court may be objected to on the ground of limitation.

Learned counsel for respondents state that no such objection on the ground of limitation shall be taken if the petitioners approach the Madhya Pradesh High Court by way of a writ petition within a period of six weeks from today.

In view of this submission, learned counsel for petitioners seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Madhya Pradesh High Court.

With the aforesaid liberty, present writ petition and application are dismissed as withdrawn.

MANMOHAN, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2016

js