

\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2552/2016 & CM 10866/2016

SHYAMLATA AGGARWAL Petitioner

Through: Ms Esha Mazumdar, Adv.

versus

LT. GOVERNOR OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Yeeshu Jain, Adv.with Ms Jyoti Tyagi,
Adv. for the L&B/LAC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **20.09.2016**

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. We are satisfied that the petitioner in this writ petition was before this Court in the earlier round as also before the Supreme Court, either in her own name or represented through her predecessor-in-interest. As a result, the case of the petitioner is entirely covered by the decision of this Court in **Sunil Goel & Ors v. The State & Ors**, 211 (2014) Delhi Law Times 382 (DB), along with other connected matters.

Consequently, the declaration dated 26.04.2013 being F.11(17)/91/L&B/LA/Pt.5/1285 under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 is quashed insofar as the petitioner is concerned. As a result, the notification dated 28.04.1995 being Notification No. F.11(17)/91/L&B/LA/6518 issued under Section 4 of the said Act, insofar

as the petitioner's lands are concerned, would be regarded as having lapsed.

The writ petition is allowed as above. There shall be no orders as to costs.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

SEPTEMBER 20, 2016

kb