

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

38.

+

W.P.(C) 2506/2016

HDFC BANK LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mrs. Kavita Jha with Mr. Shammi
Kappor and Ms. Purva Juneja, Advocates.

versus

VALUE ADDED TAX OFFICER (WARD 206)
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Gautam Narayan, Additional
Standing Counsel and Mr. R.A. Iyer, Advocate
with Mr. M.K. Agarwal, VATO, Ward-206.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

21.03.2016

CM No. 10723/2016 (for exemption)

1. Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2506/2016 & CM No. 10722/2016 (for stay)

3. It is stated by Mr. Gautam Narayan, Additional Standing Counsel for the Respondent, on instructions from Mr. M.K. Agarwal, VATO, Ward-206, that in terms of Section 35 of the Delhi Value Added Tax Act, 2004 the demand will not be enforced for a period of two months from the date of the

order. In the meanwhile, it is expected that the Objection Hearing Authority ('OHA') will take up and decide the application for stay.

4. No further directions are called for at this stage.

5. The petition and the application are disposed of in the above terms.

6. Order *dasti*.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MARCH 21, 2016

dn