

\$~6, 8 & 16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4650/2016 & CM No.19305/2016, W.P.(C) 4653/2016 & CM No.19308/2016 and W.P.(C) 3036/2016

SAAJAN THAPA Petitioner
MUKESH CHANDRA Petitioner
DINESH NATH Petitioner

Through : Mr. B.C. Bhatt, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents

Through : Ms. Poonam Kalia, Advocate, CGSC
with Mr. Arpit Shukla, Advocate in
W.P.(C) 4650/2016.

Mr. Prasanta Varma with Ms. Prativa Varma and
Mr. Vinod Tiwari, Advocates in
W.P.(C) 4653/2016.

Mr. Manish Mohan, CGSC with
Mr. Shivam Chanana and
Ms. Priyanshu Sinha, Advocates in
W.P.(C) 3036/2016.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **23.05.2016**

1. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners praying *inter alia* for directions to the respondent No.1/Staff Selection Commission to issue letters of selection in their favour for undergoing training for the post of Constable (GD) in the BSF/CISF/SSB/CRPF/Assam Rifles.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had applied for being considered for the post of Constable (GD) in February, 2011 pursuant to the advertisement issued by the respondent No.1/SCC on behalf of the remaining respondents in the daily newspapers. The petitioners claim that they had cleared the written examination and had also appeared in the medical test and were found medically fit. However, they were never issued appointment letters by the respondents. He submits that after waiting patiently for a long time, in the year 2015, the petitioners had served legal notices on the respondents calling upon them to issue letters of selection for being recruited to the subject post in the Central Armed Forces, as mentioned above, but to no avail.

3. Having regard to the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioners to the effect that despite legal notices served on the respondents, none of them have responded to the pleas taken by them, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the present petitions at the stage of admission with the consent of the parties. Directions are issued to the respondent No.1/SSC to consider and respond to the legal notices served on it by each of the petitioners and enclosed with the petitions, within four weeks from today. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondent

No.1/SCC, they shall be entitled to seek their remedies, if so advised, in accordance with law.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of, along with the pending applications.

HIMA KOHLI, J

SUNIL GAUR, J

MAY 23, 2016
sk/mk