

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2296/2014**

RAJAY SHAHDEO & ANR

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Gauravjeet S.Narwan, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Akshai Malik, APP for State
Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Adv. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER

%

16.09.2016

1. Noting that the settlement does not embrace the petitioners No.1 and 2 in the instant petition, the counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the petition stating that the petitioner intends to enter into a settlement with respondent No.2 : the complainant, and then seek quashing of the FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Needless to state if the petitioner enters into a settlement with the complainant a cause of action would accrue to the petitioners afresh to seek quashing of the FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
3. The petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Crl.M.A.No.7699/2014

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J

SEPTEMBER 16, 2016

dkb