

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1941/2016 & CM No.8335/2016 (stay)

JIWAN LAL SUD

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Kirti Uppal, Sr Advocate with Mr Rajan
Bajaj and Ms Wamika Trehan, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Mr Peeyoosh Kalra with Mr Ankit Khurana,
Advocates for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

16.05.2016

The decision given by us in Conclave Pharma Pvt. Ltd. v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. [WP(C) 1955/2016] today itself would apply to this case also because the facts are virtually identical.

Consequently, the letter dated 03.02.2016 is quashed and the petitioner's bid is to be treated as responsive on the ground for which it was earlier held to be non-responsive.

The writ petition is allowed.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

MAY 16, 2016

st