

21
-
§~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 239/2012 & C.M.18729/2014**

RAM LAL YADAV & ANR

.....Appellants

Through: Nemo
versus

MASTER RANJEET KUMAR MINOR & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Sidharth Chopra, Advocate for
respondents No.1 to 3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **14.09.2016**

Since 13th September, 2016 was declared a holiday, therefore, this matter is being taken up today i.e. on 14th September, 2016.

Learned counsel for contesting respondents No. 1 to 3 submits that this appeal is liable to be dismissed on the ground that appellant No.1- *Ram Lal Yadav* had expired in August, 2015 and his legal heirs have not been brought on record.

It is pointed out that on the ground of concealment of the fact that this property has been sold by appellant No.1 to third party, this appeal deserves to be dismissed.

Since none appears on behalf of appellant even on the second call, therefore, this appeal and the application are dismissed in default.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 14, 2016

s