

\$~13.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1872/2016 and CM APPL. 8003/2016

GEETA KUKRETI

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Saahila Lamba, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay, CGSC with
Mr. Syed Hussain, Mr. Adil Taqin, Advocates,
Mr. B.K. Rout, Pairvy Officer and Mr. S.S.
Sejwal, Law Officer, CRPF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

03.03.2016

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner praying *inter alia* for restraining the respondents from recovering any amount from her salary towards unauthorised occupation of the government accommodation allotted to her at Jharoda Kalan, New Delhi.
2. Ms. Lamba, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is seeking refund of the amounts deducted by the respondents from 01.07.2013 to 31.03.2015, on the ground that vide Signal dated

15.01.2014, the respondents had decided that “due to reorganisation of the force, retention of quarters be allowed to force personnel till 31.03.2015, on request of allottees”. She submits that immediately on being intimated about the issuance of the aforesaid Signal, the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 30.03.2014 to the respondents praying *inter alia* for retention of the quarter, particularly, on the ground that her husband is a cancer patient and needs to undergo treatment from a Hospital in the same vicinity. She states that the said representation was followed by several other representations made by the petitioner, the last one dated 21.05.2015 (Annexure P-5), but none of them have been considered by the respondents and at the same time, they are continuing to deduct a sum of Rs.7,599/- p.m. from the petitioner’s salary, with effect from April, 2015.

3. Counsel for the respondents, who appears on advance notice, assures the Court that the latest representation of the petitioner dated 21.5.2015(Annexure P-5) shall be considered and decided in the light of Signal dated 15.01.2014, if applicable, within four weeks under written intimation to the petitioner. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision that

may be taken, she shall be entitled to seek her remedies as per law.

4. The petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application.

HIMA KOHLI, J

SUNIL GAUR, J

MARCH 03, 2016

rkb/mk

