

\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 252/2014 & Crl.M.A. No.6811/2014

NARESH GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr.S.P. Singh Shokeen, Adv. with
Mr.Sumit Choudhary, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through

Mr.Amit Chadha, APP for the State.
Mr.Anupriya Yadav, Adv. for
Mr.S.B. Singh.
Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.N. Mann, Adv. for R-4/Mr.Jai
Bhagwan.
Mr.I. Ahmad, Adv. for R-6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.S.TEJI

ORDER

%

12.05.2016

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his arguments to the extent that he may be given liberty to proceed with his application which was filed during the pendency of the present petition and further liberty to challenge the order passed under Section 319 Cr. P.C. if he is aggrieved by the same.

Consequently, the prayer made by the petitioner is allowed.

The present petition is disposed of with the above observation.

P.S.TEJI, J

MAY 12, 2016/aa