$~18-20.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 2980/2013

SALES TAX BAR ASSOCIATION (REGD.) ..... Petitioner

Versus

MS. NITA BALI AND ORS ..... Respondents

\+ W.P.(C) 4119/2013

THE DELHI TAX BAR ASSOCIATION ..... Petitioner

Versus

THE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS ..... Respondents

\+ W.P.(C) 3160/2013

TAX TRIBUNAL BAR ASSOCIATION (REGD) ..... Petitioner

Versus

THE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS ..... Respondents

Present:- Mr.Sanjay Sharma, Mr.Awadh Kaushik, Advs. for the petitioners in CWP No.2980/2013, 4119/2013, 3160/2013.
Mr.Satyakam, ASC for Govt. of NCT of Delhi.
Ms.Priyank Khattar, Adv. for UOI in CWP No.4119/2013.
Ms.Minal Sehgal, Adv. for the petitioner in CWP No.3482/2014.

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

O R D E R

% **19.05.2016**

1. Though these petitions by way of Public Interest Litigation have been filed challenging the validity of the Delhi Value Added Tax Appellate Tribunal (Qualifications, Salaries, Allowances and other conditions of service of Members), Rules 2009, particularly, Rule 7 thereof, the

petitioners are primarily aggrieved by the appointment of the members of the Appellate Tribunal, VAT, Delhi, (arrayed as respondents No.1 to 3 in W.P.(C) No.2980/2013).

2. Admittedly, the tenure of the respondents No.1 to 3 has expired long back and it is not disputed before us by the learned counsel for both the parties that they are not continuing in office as of today. Hence, the cause in the writ petition does not survive.

3. So far as the question relating to the validity of Rule 7 of the Rules is concerned, we leave it open to be decided in appropriate case.

4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

CHIEF JUSTICE

JAYANT NATH, J

MAY 19, 2016
'anb'