

\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 555/2006**

URMIL BAJAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Proxy counsel (appearance not given).

versus

SUDHIR NAYAR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

04.02.2016

1. Proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that main counsel is busy in another Court. He further submits that the main counsel has the instructions from the petitioner to withdraw this revision petition.
2. Proxy counsel for the petitioner seeks Passover.
3. Be awaited.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

FEBRUARY 04, 2016/‘aky’

Despite many passovers, neither the main counsel nor the proxy counsel for the petitioner appeared. The proxy counsel when appeared at the first call informed that the main counsel has the instructions from the petitioner to withdraw this revision petition.

Since has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite many Passovers, the revision petition is dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

FEBRUARY 04, 2016

‘aky’