

19
24
§-18 & 19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **EX.P. 130/2014 & EX.APPL.(OS) Nos. 277/2014 & 627/2015**

M/S CAPITAL FIRST LTD Decree Holder
Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Advocate.
versus
SATISH CHOPRA & ORS Judgement Debtors
Through: Mr Sanjeet Singh, Advocate for
Judgment Debtor-1.
Mr Rakesh Makhija and Ms Priyanka
Sharma, Advocates for Judgment
Debtor-2.

AND

+ ✓ **EX.P. 140/2014 & EX.APPL.(OS) Nos. 305-306/2014, 770/2014 & 626/2015**

M/S CAPITAL FIRST LTD Decree Holder
Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Advocate.
versus
SATISH CHOPRA & ORS Judgement Debtors
Through: Mr Sanjeet Singh, Advocate for
Judgment Debtor-1.
Mr Rakesh Makhija and Ms Priyanka
Sharma, Advocates for Judgment
Debtor-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

16.11.2016

The learned counsel for the Decree Holder states that Judgment Debtors have regularised the accounts and, therefore, he has instructions to withdraw these petitions with liberty to file afresh in the event the Judgement Debtors default in their obligations.

25

The learned counsel for the Judgment Debtors states that in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, a fresh execution petition will not be maintainable. This aspect would be considered as and when the Decree Holder files a fresh petition to enforce the award.

The petitions and all the pending applications are dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.



VIBHU BAKHRU, J

NOVEMBER 16, 2016
RK