

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 604/2014

GURBUX SINGH

.... Petitioner

Represented by: None.

versus

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ORS Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, Additional
Standing Counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER

%

31.05.2016

1. The grievance of the petitioner concerns action initiated by the police under Rule 23 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934. The reason being the petitioner becoming an accused in five FIRs. The proceedings if taken to the logical conclusion would result in opening a history sheet.

2. Learned counsel for the State states that the proposal did not get the approval of the senior officers and thus history sheet concerning the petitioner was never opened. This may be the reason why the petitioner is not appearing in the matter.

3. Noting the stand of learned counsel for the State the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous without there being any order as to costs.

Crl.M.A.No.4786/2014

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

MAY 31, 2016

rk