$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

20.

\+ **CEAC 11/2006**

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Senior Standing counsel.

versus

R.K.MEHTA ..... Respondent

WITH

21.

\+ **CEAC 12/2006**

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Senior Standing counsel.

versus

M/S LINK INDUSTRIES ..... Respondent

AND

22.

\+ **CEAC 13/2006**

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Senior Standing counsel.

versus

M/S VISHAL ENTERPRISES ..... Respondent

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

O R D E R

% **30.05.2016**

1. In view of letter no. C. No. V(Law) HQ/CE/D-I/96/2006 dated 27th May, 2016 received by Mr Satish Kumar, learned Senior Standing counsel appearing for the Petitioner, it appears that the tax effect in these matters is less than the monetary limit in terms of the Instruction No.F.No.390/Misc./163/2010-JC dated 17th December, 2015 issued by the Central Board of Excise & Customs. Accordingly, he does not press these appeals.

2. The appeals are dismissed as not pressed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MAY 30, 2016
MK