$~
* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
18.
\+ **CEAC 32/2005**

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Kamal Nijhawan, Senior Standing counsel, Mr R. Ashok and Mr Sumit Gaur, Advocates.

versus

M/S S.KUMAR, CABLE CO. ..... Respondent

WITH

19.
\+ **CEAC 33/2005**

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE ..... Appellant
Through: Mr Kamal Nijhawan, Senior Standing counsel, Mr R. Ashok and Mr Sumit Gaur, Advocates.

versus

M/S DURGA ENTERPRISES ..... Respondent
Through

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

<u>O R D E R</u>
% **30.05.2016**

1. In view of letter no. C.No.V(Law)/HQ/CE/D-II/01/06 dated 1st March, 2016 received by Mr Kamal Nijhawan, learned Senior Standing counsel appearing for the Appellant, it appears that the tax effect in these matters is less than the monetary limit of the Instruction No.F.No.390/Misc./163/2010-JC dated 17th December, 2015 issued by the Central Board of Excise &

Customs. Accordingly, he does not press these appeals.

2. The appeals are dismissed as not pressed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MAY 30, 2016
MK