

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 26/2016**
SANJEEV SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Ashok Gurnani, Advocate with
the appellant in person.

versus

BRAHM KUMARI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate with the
respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE GITA MITTAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

20.05.2016

%

1. An original Settlement Agreement dated 18th May, 2016 between the parties has been placed before us. The parties are identified by their respective counsel. The appellant has been shown the original Settlement Agreement and he has identified his signatures at Points X-1 to X-7 while the respondent has identified her signatures at Points Y-1 to Y-8 on each page of the Settlement Agreement (the respondent has identified her two signatures on page 161 of the paper book). The Settlement Agreement is exhibited as (Exh C-1).

2. It is stated by the parties that the Settlement Agreement has been entered into voluntarily, bona fide without any force, pressure, coercion or undue influence. A prayer is made by the parties and their counsel that the

Settlement Agreement (Exh. C-1) may be accepted and taken on record and the appeal may be disposed of in terms thereof. Both the parties have given undertakings in the Settlement Agreement and have also given undertakings to this Court that they shall remain bound of the terms of the settlement. The settlement appears to be bona fide and has been entered into voluntarily without any kind of force, pressure, coercion or undue influence. There is no legal impediment in accepting and taking on record the said Settlement Agreement. The undertakings given by the parties to strictly abide by the terms of the Settlement Agreement are also accepted by this Court. The parties shall also remain bound by the same.

3. In view of the prayer made before us for disposal of the appeal, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the Settlement Agreement dated 18th May, 2016 (Exh C-1).

4. A copy of our order dated 22nd April, 2016 as well as the Settlement Agreement be sent to SAMADHAN – Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

5. We also note that the Settlement Agreement has been carefully drafted and mediation has been effected between the parties which has enabled dissolution of six cases (including the present appeal between the parties. The parties have been litigating since the year 2008, that is, for about a period of 7 years. By his serious and sincere efforts, Mr.Rajat Aneja, Advocate has brought an end to the disputes between these seriously disputing parties. This Court places on record its appreciation of the efforts made by Mr.Rajat

Aneja, Advocate pro bono in dispute resolution between the parties.

6. Let a copy of this order be sent to Mr.Rajat Aneja, Advocate.

GITA MITTAL, J

I.S.MEHTA, J

MAY 20, 2016
'dc'