

\$~1.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2065/2016

BAL KISHAN

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. L.B. Rai, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY Respondent

Through Mr. Arun Bhardwaj & Ms. Mansi Gupta,
Advocates for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

26.04.2016

After some arguments and when we were dictating the judgment, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he had urged and raised the contention that copy of the CVC advice, which was relied by the disciplinary authority, was not furnished to the petitioner. He seeks liberty to withdraw the writ petition and move a review application before the tribunal, as this aspect has not been examined and decided.

Without expressing any opinion on whether or not said application would be maintainable or whether this contention was raised and argued before the tribunal, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. We clarify that this order will not be construed as an order expressing any opinion on merits.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

APRIL 26, 2016

VKR/NA