

\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 644/2016 & Crl.M.A. Nos.2664-2665/2016
MOHITA BHARGAVA & ANR Petitioners
Through Mr.N.S. Vasisht, Adv.

versus

SUKESH BHARGAVA Respondent
Through

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.S.TEJI

ORDER
% **29.02.2016**

Crl.M.A. Nos.2665/2016

Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 644/2016 & Crl.M.A. No.2664/2016

The grievance of the petitioners in the present case is that the petitioners have not been granted any interim maintenance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the purpose of the petitioners would be satisfied if the Trial Court is directed to expedite the proceedings. It is ordered accordingly.

The petition and application are disposed of accordingly.

P.S.TEJI, J

FEBRUARY 29, 2016

aa