

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 424/2016

DHARMENDER KUMAR PASWAN Petitioner
Through Mr. Nitish Chaudhary for Mr. Chetan
Lokur, Advocate

versus

STATE Respondent
Through Mr. Avi Singh, ASC (Crl.) with
Mr. Ananya Mohan, Advocate
Insp. Youdhbir Singh, P.S Okhla
Industrial Area

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER
% **01.03.2016**

The present is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking a direction to the respondent to release the petitioner on parole for three months in order to enable him to engage a private counsel to file an SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as well as to re-connect social ties with the family and society. Insofar as ground relating to institution of an SLP before the Supreme Court is concerned, the same is not available to the petitioner in view of the order passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 19th January, 2015 in Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 2573/2014 titled Dharmender Kumar Paswan vs. State whereby a similar direction on identical grounds was negated.

Insofar as the other ground is concerned, it is observed that it is an admitted position that the petitioner does not propose to reside at the address furnished by him to the competent authority i.e. Village Bhadwa, Post Itasang, Police Station Rahuee, District Nalanda, Bihar.

In view of the foregoing, the present writ petition is devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed.

However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner herein to apply afresh to the competent authority for parole by furnishing the correct address of the premises where he proposes to stay in the event he is released on parole in order to re-establish social and family ties.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

MARCH 01, 2016

sd