

\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 699/2012**

SHILPA ABROL & ANR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None

versus

RAHUL ABROL

..... Respondent

Represented by:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

21.11.2016

%

Crl.M.A. 18022/2016

For the reasons stated in the application delay of 26 days in re-filing of the application is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

Crl.M.A. 18021/2016

By this application the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition, however despite pass-over none is present on behalf of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that even on the last date none was present on behalf of the petitioner, it is apparent that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the case.

Consequently, Crl.M.A. 18021/2016 is allowed permitting the petitioner to withdraw the petition.

CRL.M.C. 699/2012

page 1 of 2

CRL.M.C. 699/2012

Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 21, 2016
‘ga’