

\$~10 & 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 293/2016**

NISHA

Through

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

Through

..... Applicant

Mr. Hans Raj Singh and Mr. Rishi
Raghav, Advocates

..... Respondent

Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for State
Insp. Tej Ram, PS Bhajanpura
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Arya, Adv. for
the Complainant

+ **BAIL APPLN. 294/2016**

NARESH KUMAR MISHRA

Through

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

Through

..... Applicant

Mr. Hans Raj Singh and Mr. Rishi
Raghav, Advocates

..... Respondent

Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for State
Insp. Tej Ram, PS Bhajanpura
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Arya, Adv. for
the Complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

%

09.05.2016

Mr. Ravi Nayak, learned APP appearing on behalf of the official respondent, on instructions from the Investigating Officer in the subject FIR namely Inspector Tej Ram, Police Station- Bhajanpura, Delhi, invites my attention to the circumstance that the charge-sheet in the subject FIR has been filed against the applicants herein, as far back as on 16.11.2015.

6


Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants herein, in view of the changed circumstance as recorded hereinabove, seeks leave to withdraw the present bail applications with liberty to approach the trial Court in this behalf with an appropriate application, within a period of one week from today.

This submission is not opposed by counsel appearing on behalf of the official respondent as well as by counsel for the complainant herein.

It is, therefore, directed accordingly.

The present bail applications are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to move the concerned Sessions Court, where the trial is underway.

The bail applications are disposed of accordingly.


SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

MAY 09, 2016

sd ✓