

\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 930/2016

VIDUSHI CHATURVEDI

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms. Indira Jaising, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Saurabh Chauhan, Mr. Varun Jain and Ms. Meher Dev, Advs. along with petitioner in person.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ORS Respondents

Through:

Mr. Anil Grover, Standing Counsel with Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv. for R-1.

Mr. Vivek Goel, CGSC with Mr. Prabhakar Srivastav, Adv. for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

09.02.2016

On 3rd February, 2016 learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, had stated that petitioner was willing to vacate the premises in question on or before 31st May, 2016. Accordingly, counsel for respondent no.1 took time to take instructions.

Today, learned counsel for respondent no.1 submits that offer of petitioner is acceptable to the respondent no.1. Accordingly, petitioner is granted time to vacate the premises in question by 31st May, 2016. Let petitioner file an undertaking in the Registry, within one week, categorically

stating therein that she will vacate the premises in question on or before 31st May, 2016. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 submits that subject to petitioner furnishing aforesaid undertaking within one week, proceedings under the The Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971 will not be pursued. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 further submits that penal rent will also not be charged from the petitioner till 31st May, 2016.

Writ petition is disposed of in the above terms. However, it is noted that this order will not be treated as a precedent in other proceedings. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

FEBRUARY 09, 2016

ga