

\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 320/2016

RAJKUMAR @ DANNY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vikas Padora and Mr.Vaibhav
Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Nandita Rao, A.S.C. for the State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

01.02.2016

%

1. By filing the present petition from jail, the petitioner is seeking parole for a period of one month on the ground of maintaining social ties and for combating inner stress.
2. Notice. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice.
3. Status report has been filed by the State.
4. A perusal of the nominal roll of the petitioner reveals that his overall jail conduct as well as jail conduct during last one year was unsatisfactory as he was awarded punishments on 08.05.2014 and 21.09.2015.
5. As per clause 11.2 of Parole/Furlough Guidelines-2010, in order to be eligible for release on parole, the conduct of the convict in prison must have been uniformly good.
6. In view of the above, no ground for release of the Petitioner on parole is made out at this stage. This writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.
7. Petitioner be informed through the concerned Jail Superintendent about the orders passed.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

FEBRUARY 01, 2016/‘st’