

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
R-143.

+ **W.P.(C) 3762/2003**

JENON AND NICHOLSON (I) LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

THE SALES TAX OFFICER, WARD NO.11

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

18.03.2016

1. Despite a pass over, none appears for the Petitioner.
2. The writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. It is made clear that the application for restoration will be entertained only if it is supported by the affidavit of the party concerned. Also, an advance copy should be served on the counsel who appeared last for the Respondent.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MARCH 18, 2016

dn