

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 185/2008**

PAWAN KUAMR GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: None

versus

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms.Nandita Rao,
Addl.Standing Counsel with
Mr.Varun Goswami, APP for
the State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER

% **30.05.2016**

1. The petitioner questions a notice issued under Section 50 of the Delhi Police Act, 1978. I am surprised that a writ petition has been admitted for the reason what has been questioned is a show cause notice. The petitioner had a remedy to respond thereto. If at all an extenuation order was passed cause of action would have accrued to question the same.
2. By now, either proceedings have been dropped or an order passed. If passed, the petitioner may have questioned that or may have accepted that.
3. Be that as it may, since none appears for the petitioner at the hearing today the writ petition is dismissed in default.
4. No costs.

CrI.M.A.1735/2008

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

MAY 30, 2016/skb