

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
R-110

+ **W.P.(C) 4295/2002**

RAJEEV BHATIA

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

DY. COM. OF INCOME TAX AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

11.03.2016

1. None appears for the Petitioner.
2. The writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. It is made clear that the application for restoration will be entertained only if it is supported by the affidavit of the party concerned. Also, an advance copy should be served on the counsel who appeared last for the Respondents.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MARCH 11, 2016/MK