

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

R-62

+

W.P.(C) 3890/2000

LML LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R. Santhanam with Mr. A.P. Sinha,
and Mr. Bharat Agarwal, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Senior standing
counsel with Smt. Bhuvneshwari P. Kaushik and
Mr. Bhavishya Sharma, Advocates.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

01.03.2016

%

1. Mr. R. Santhanam, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner informs the Court that insofar as the period for which the demand is sought to be enforced by the Department, and which is challenged in the present writ petition, an order has been passed by the Supreme Court on 15th September 2003 in Civil Appeal No. 6555-6573 of 2003 (*Commissioner of Central Excise, Kanpur v. M/s. LML Limited*) recording the fact that refund had already been given to the Assessee on 11th September 2003 and therefore, the said appeal was not being pressed by the Department.

2. Mr. Rahul Kaushik, learned counsel for the Department, seeks time to

verify the above details.

3. At his request, adjourned to 6th April 2016 in the category of “After Notice Miscellaneous Matters.”

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MARCH 01, 2016

Rk