

\$~28

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 535/2016

HASHAM

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Arun Kumar Kaushik, Advocate.
versus

THE DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER AND ORS..... Respondents

Through Mr.Rishikesh Kumar, ADV for R-1 to
R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

O R D E R

% **05.09.2016**

C.M.No. 32397/2016

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that in spite of his application pending before the SDM seeking mutation in the revenue record qua certain properties (as mentioned in the writ petition) in the revenue Estate of Village Satbari, New Delhi, the SDM has seized of the matter and has not disposed of it. This is in spite of reminders.

At the outset, learned counsel learned counsel for the respondent under instructions states that the representation of the petitioner shall be disposed of within an outer limit of four weeks from today. This satisfies the prayer of the petitioner.

The SDM shall dispose of the representation of the petitioner in four weeks from today uninfluenced by any observation made by this Court today.

No further orders are called for.

Application disposed of.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

SEPTEMBER 05, 2016/ndn