

\$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

R-215

+

**ITA 587/2004**

**COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX**

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rahul Chaudhary, Senior standing  
counsel with Mr. Anup Kumar Kesari, Advocate.

versus

**R.R. FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS (P) LTD.**

..... Respondent

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR**

**JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU**

**ORDER**

**31.05.2016**

%

1. It appears that when this appeal was admitted on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2004 and the question of law was framed, no notice was issued to the Respondent/Assessee. Subsequently on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2007 notice was directed to be issued to the Respondent without process fee.

2. The office noting shows that for some time thereafter notice could not be issued to the Respondent for want of address. Thereafter in 2008 notice was received back unserved with the report that “the firm is found locked for the last two or three years.”

3. In the circumstances, the Court sees no useful purpose in keeping this appeal pending. The appeal is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution

with liberty to the Revenue to revive the appeal in case the present correct address of the Respondent/Assessee is able to be located.

**S. MURALIDHAR, J**

**VIBHU BAKHRU, J**

**MAY 31, 2016/Rm**