$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ ITA 328/2004

M/S SELAN EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGY LTD. ..... Appellant
Through: Mr. M.P. Rastogi, Adv.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX N.D ..... Respondent
Through: Mr. Ashik K. Manchanda, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

<u>O R D E R</u>

% 11.11.2016

<u>CM No. 42003/2016 (for correction</u>

It is pointed out that several errors in the figures mentioned in the judgment dated 01.09.2016 disposing off the appeal, require correction. The errors are mentioned as:

(a) In paragraph 2 of the judgment as against Rs. 85.35, the correct figure to be read is Rs. 85.35 lacs.

(b) In paragraph 6 of the judgment the figure of Rs. 8 lacs is to be substituted by Rs. 78 lacs.

(c) In para 6 of the judgment the figure of Rs. 83.35 lacs is to be substituted with Rs. 85.35 lacs.

(d) Likewise, in paragraph 8 of the judgment the figure of Rs. 83.35 lacs is to be read as Rs. 85.35 lacs.

Since the premise of the application is borne out by the record, the learned counsel for the respondents states that the corrections sought are warranted.

The application is allowed. The correct amounts mentioned in the application and described in the order shall be substituted at the relevant place in the corrected order to be issued by the registry.

The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2016/kk