

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 31/2016

MAHINDER PAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.Pandey & Mr.K.K.Kundra,
Advocates

versus

THE STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Sudershan Joon, APP for the State
Mr.H.R.Jha, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

12.02.2016

CRL.M.A. /2016 (To be numbered)

1. A joint application has been filed by both the parties today in the Court to bring on record settlement entered into between the parties.
2. The same be numbered by the Registry.
3. On behalf of the respondent No.2/complainant it is submitted by Mr.H.R.Jha, Advocate that the complainant has received ₹ 18 lakhs by way of two pay orders and balance amount of ₹ 2 lakhs is payable by the petitioner within one week.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2/complainant submits that though payment of ₹ 2 lakhs is still due but he does not want petitioner to remain in jail for this balance amount and the petitioner he may be ordered to be released in view of the fact that he has settled the matter with the petitioner through his family.
5. The petitioner in this case impugned the order dated 21st December,

2015 whereby the appeal filed by him was dismissed. This is a case under Section 138 N.I. Act which has been compounded by the parties.

6. In view of the offence being compounded the petitioner is acquitted.

7. A copy of the order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent with direction to release the petitioner forthwith if not wanted in any other case.

8. A copy of the order be also given dasti to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signature of the Court Master, as prayed.

CRL.REV.P. 31/2016 & CRL.M.B.87/2016

1. In view of the above settlement having been arrived at between the parties and the petitioner is ordered to be released from jail, nothing further survives in this revision petition.

2. The same is accordingly dismissed.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

FEBRUARY 12, 2016

‘pg’