

\$~10&11.

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
W.P.(C) No.204/2014 & CMs No.362/2014 (for stay) & 10123/2014  
(of R-5 for modification of order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2014)  
FERTILIZER ASSOCIATION OF INDIA ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Adv. with Ms.  
Kaveeta Wadia & Mr. Shashank  
Tripathi, Advs.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Adv. for UOI.  
Mr. Paras Kuhad, Sr. Adv. with Sasi  
Prabhu, Mr. Vishnu Sharma Mr.  
Raghav Shankar, Mr. Jitin Chaturvedi  
and Ms. Swati, Advs. for R-4.  
Mr. Prashant Bezboruah, Mr. Saurav  
Agarwal, Mr. Rakesh Dewan and Ms.  
Astha Gaur, Advs.

AND

+ W.P.(C) 799/2014 & CM Nos. 1600/2014 (for stay), 5388/2014 (for  
condonation of 67 days delay in filing CA) & 10122/2014 (u/O 39 R-  
4 CPC)

RELIANCE GAS TRANSPORTATION ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Paras Kuhad, Sr. Adv. with Mr.  
Sasi Prabhu, Mr. Vishnu Sharma Mr.  
Raghav Shankar, Mr. Jitin Chaturvedi  
and Ms. Swati, Advs.

Versus

PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

REGULATORY BOARD & ORS. .... Respondents

Through: Mr. Prashant Bezboruah, Mr. Saurav  
Agarwal, Mr. Rakesh Dewan and Ms.  
Astha Gaur, Advs. for R-1  
Mr. Vikram Jetly, Adv. for UOI. Mr.

Akhil Sibal and Mr. Deepak Khurana,  
Advs. for R-21.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Sr. Adv. with Mr.  
Vishrov Mukherjee, Adv. for R-  
5,22&23.

Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Adv. with Ms.  
Kaveeta Wadia & Mr. Shashank  
Tripathi, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW**

**ORDER**

%

**30.05.2016**

1. The senior counsel for the petitioner in W.P.(C) No.799/2014 states that in view of the Notification dated 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 to the Finance Act, 2016, the cause of action with which this writ petition was filed does not survive and he thus seeks disposal of the petition as infructuous.
2. The counsels for the respondent no.4 Reliance Industries Ltd., respondents no.5 GMR Energy Limited, respondents no. 21 to 23 namely Lanco Kondapalli Power Ltd., GMR Vemagiri Power Generation Limited and GMR Rajahmundry Energy Limited have no objection.
3. The senior counsel for the respondents no.6 to 12 namely M/s Kribhco Shyam Fertilizers, M/s Indo Gulf Fertilizers Ltd., M/s IFFCO, M/s Tata Chemicals Ltd., Shriram Fertilisers & Chemicals, National Fertilizers Limited and Chambal Fertilizer & Chemicals Ltd. and respondents no.14 to 17 namely Gujarat State Fertilizers and Chemicals Limited, Rashtriya Chemicals and Fertilizer Limited, Gujarat Narmada Valley Fertilizers Co. Ltd. and Krishak Bharti Cooperative Limited disagrees.
4. The counsels for the other respondents have not taken any stand.

5. In view of the aforesaid statements and subject to the objections of the objecting respondents, W.P.(C) No.799/2014 is disposed of as infructuous.
6. The interim order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> February, 2014 in W.P.(C) No.799/2014 is vacated.
7. The senior counsel for the petitioner in W.P.(C) No.799/2014 also states that the petitioner would be complying with the directive of Government of India to transport gas to the Power Companies.
8. The senior counsel for the petitioner in W.P.(C) No.204/2014 states that the said petition has not become infructuous though the contention of the senior counsel for the respondent no.4 Reliance Industries Limited and respondent no.5 GMR Energy Limited is the same as in the other petition.
9. List W.P.(C) No.204/2014 for consideration on 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2016.
10. Interim orders in W.P.(C) No.204/2014 to continue.

**RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J**

**MAY 30, 2016**

‘pp’..