

3
\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 32/2016

VINOD KUMAR KHURANA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Ravindra S.Garia, Adv.

versus

REGISTRAR GENERAL HIGH COURT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Rajshekhar Rao with Mr.Varun
Mishra, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

16.02.2016

1. By the order under appeal, the learned Single Judge had dismissed W.P.(C) No.9908/2015 filed by the appellant seeking a direction regarding listing of W.P.(C) No.630/2012 which was filed by him in connection with the proceedings initiated by the University of Delhi for withholding/making recovery from his retiral benefits.


2. The grievance of the petitioner appears to be that priority has not been accorded to his petition under the category of 'Senior Citizens'. The learned Single Judge held that the remedy of the petitioner is to make a mention before the Bench before which the petition is listed and that no writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India can be maintained.


3. On our inquiry with the Registry of the High Court, it is found that W.P.(C) No.630/2012 is already being treated as 'Senior Citizen' matter and is being listed before the roster Bench in the category of 'Senior Citizens' every

9

Thursday. We, therefore, do not find any justifiable reason to interfere with the order under appeal.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. However, it is open to the appellant/writ petitioner to move an appropriate application before the roster Bench for expediting the hearing of his petition.


CHIEF JUSTICE


JAYANT NATH, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2016/pmc