

\$~2 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 268/2016

MD. ABDUL HAMID

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Divakar, Advocate

versus

UOI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. K.K. Jha and Mr. Reshesh
Mani Tripathi, Advocates for
respondent-UOI

+ W.P.(C) 278/2016

WASIMUDDIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Divakar, Advocate

versus

UOI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. K.K. Jha and Mr. Reshesh
Mani Tripathi, Advocates for
respondent-UOI

+ W.P.(C) 305/2016

PRADEEP KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Divakar, Advocate

versus

UOI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. K.K. Jha and Mr. Reshesh
Mani Tripathi, Advocates for
respondent-UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

3

ORDER
20.01.2016

%

1. The present three petitions have been filed by the petitioners praying *inter alia* for issuing directions to the respondents No.2 and 3-Staff Selection Commission to consider their candidature to the post of Constables with the BSF/CISF/CRPF/SSB, in terms of the newspaper advertisement dated 5th June, 2011.

2. It is the case of all the petitioners that at the time of filing an application with the respondents, they had erroneously not specified that they are residents of a Naxal area in the State of Uttar Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that having failed to state so, though the petitioners have secured more marks than the last selected candidates, the respondents did not consider their applications and did not appoint them.

3. It has been enquired from learned counsel for the petitioners as to whether before approaching this Court, each of the petitioners have submitted substantive representations to the respondents No.2 and 3 for consideration.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be granted leave to withdraw the present petitions while reserving the right of the petitioners to submit substantive representations to the respondents No.2 and 3 and in the event their said representation

4

is turned down by the respondents No.2 and 3, liberty be granted to the petitioners to seek their remedies in accordance with law.

5. While disposing of the present petitions, liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach respondents No.2 and 3 by submitting representations within one week. Upon receiving the said representations, respondents No.2 and 3 shall consider the same in the light of the order dated 14th July, 2014 passed in a batch of matter, lead matter being W.P.(C).5663/2013 entitled *Deepak Kumar Singh and Ors. v. Union of India and Ors.* and pass a speaking order. Thereafter, the decision taken by them shall be communicated in writing to the petitioners within four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representations. If the grievance of petitioners still subsists, they shall be entitled to seek their remedy in accordance with law.

6. The petitions are disposed of.



HIMA KOHLI, J



SUNIL GAUR, J

JANUARY 20, 2016

S