

IOIN-CRM-M-30329-2014

Ramandeep Kaur and another Vs. State of Punjab and others

Present : None.

On the last date of hearing, none caused representation on behalf of the petitioners. Today as well, none has caused appearance despite information to the counsel.

On 11.09.2014, the following order was passed:-

“This is a petition seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents no.4 and 5.

On 03.09.2014, following order was passed by this Court:

“Instant petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No. 4 and 5. The petitioners assert that they are major and as there is no legal impediment, they have got married. They have already moved representation dated 30.08.2014 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural, for protecting their life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, after seeking instructions from petitioner no.2 states that in order to show his bonafide, petitioner no.2 will deposit a sum of Rs. 1,50,000/- in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1. Initially, petitioner no.2 will deposit a sum of Rs. 50,000/- in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 within a week and thereafter Rs.1,00,000/- within six months in the form of FDR for three years.. An affidavit of petitioner no.2 with regard to deposit of Rs.1,50,000/- in the form of FDR has been filed in Court which is taken on record.

Notice of motion for 11.09.2014.

If the process fee is not deposited by counsel for the petitioners, the Registry shall issue notice to the respondents at its own.

Respondent No.3 – Station House Officer, Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar is directed to effect service upon private respondent Nos. 4 and 5. However, the SHO will ensure that no

inconvenience and embarrassment is caused to them and for that purpose, official going for service may preferably be in civil uniform.

In the meantime, liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural, for protection, as per instructions dated 18.8.2010 issued by the Home Department, Punjab. Petitioners may not be arrested and adequate security be provided to them, if required.”

Petitioner no.2 states that he has deposited the amount of ₹50,000/- vide receipt dated 10.09.2014 in the form of term deposit advice for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 and will deposit the remaining amount of ₹ 1,00,000/- in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 within six months. A photocopy of receipt, filed in Court, is taken on record. Keeping in view the above, the order dated 04.09.2014 is made absolute.

Petitioner no.1 will intimate this Court about deposit of remaining amount of ₹1,00,000/- by petitioner no.2 as aforesaid along with a photocopy of the FDR.

Disposed of.”

Neither the parties are coming forward, nor the counsel has come present. It appears that the parties have lost interest in the matter.

Accordingly, the matter is disposed of with liberty to the aggrieved party to revive the same within six weeks from today.

A copy of this order be sent to petitioner No.1.

25.10.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE