

RANJU MALHOTRA VS ANUPAM MALHOTRA

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Sudan, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent.

Heard submissions made on either side.

The current salary certificate produced by the
appellant would disclose that the appellant has been drawing
net salary of ₹45,822/-.

It is an admitted fact that a sum of Rs.10,000/- per
month towards maintenance was already ordered by the
competent Criminal Court under Section 125 Cr.P.C. Of course,
the said order passed by the competent Criminal Court is under
challenge by the respondent before this Court.

We find that the amount of Rs.10,000/- was very
recently fixed by the Criminal Court considering the net salary
being drawn by the appellant and the son born out of the
wedlock being maintained by the appellant. At this stage,
learned counsel for the respondent seeks permission to
withdraw the petition filed under Section 24 of the Hindu
Marriage Act. Permission is granted and the application stands
dismissed as withdrawn.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

19.05.2016
Jyoti Y.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**