

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F)-380 of 2016
Date of Decision:08.11.2016**

Tarsem Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Mamta Rani and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.J.P.Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has come up in the revision petition against the order dated 01.08.2016 passed by learned Distt. Judge, Family Court, Sonapat, whereby, petitioner was directed to pay Rs.5000/- per month each to respondents No.1 and 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has filed the divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act in the Court at Kurukshetra and respondent No.1 has moved the application before that Court for interim maintenance and in that application, the interim maintenance Rs.5,000/- has been awarded to the respondent No.1. Thereafter, respondent No.1 has filed petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at Sonapat, which was allowed by giving direction to petitioner to pay Rs.5,000/- each to respondents No.1 and 2 vide order dated 01.08.2016.

A perusal of the order dated 01.08.2016 shows that learned trial Court has rightly awarded the maintenance amount to the respondents No.1 and 2 and this order does not require any interference and the present petition is hereby dismissed. However, interim maintenance can only be

received by the respondent No.1 till the present petition is survived and no
maintenance amount can be adjusted at a later stage.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

08.11.2016
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No