

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RF No. 456-CI of 2016 (O&M) in
RFA No. 7046 of 2012**

Date of Decision: November 21, 2016

Siri Narain @ B.Narain

.....Applicant/Appellant

Versus

Haryana Government through Collector, Sonapat and others

.....Non-applicants/
respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. S.P. Khatri, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate for HSIIDC.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Under the garb of review application, the arguments on merits are sought to be re-addressed referring to the evidence led, which cannot be permitted.

The review application is dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2016
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No