

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.14068-C-2015 in/and
RA-RS-90-C-2015 in
RSA-2944-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 21, 2016**

State of Punjab

..... Applicant

Versus

Kashmir Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the applicant-respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-14068-C-2015

There is delay of 47 days in filing the present review application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 47 days in filing the review application is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

RA-RS-90-C-2015

Heard.

The review of the order/judgment dated 19.08.2015 passed in RSA No.2944 of 2011 has been sought on the ground that it was held by this Court that the persons, who ever applied for the post, were considered for the appointment to the post of Draftsmen.

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent contends that the applicant had applied for the post but he was never called for the interview.

Therefore, he could not be appointed. Thus, there is error apparent on the

However, a perusal of the judgment shows that the case was not decided only on the said ground but on the other two grounds also. Firstly, three persons, namely, Jarnail Singh, Sadhu Singh and Goverdhan Lal, over whom the seniority was claimed, were not made party and no order could be passed in their absence. Secondly, there was delay of 21 years in filing the civil suit and the same was found to be time barred.

As such, there is no ground to review the order/judgment dated 19.08.2015 passed by this Court in RSA No.2944 of 2011.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 21, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No