

RA-RF- 269-CI-2016 IN RFA-228-2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RA-RF- 269-CI-2016 IN RFA-228-2013

Date of Decision: August 17, 2016

SURINDER KUMAR SETIA AND ANOTHER

...Applicants

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

**Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate,
for the applicants.**

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the applicants has fairly stated that no such argument was raised which might have not been dealt with by this Court and may be made the basis for moving the instant review application.

Neither there was any other material available on record which might have not been referred and discussed in the order under review, dated 23.2.2016, nor it is so argued on behalf of the applicants even today. In fact, learned counsel for the applicants wants to re-argue the case under the garb of instant application, which is not permissible in law.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicants, no case for review has been made out.

Dismissed.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

August 17, 2016

Pk Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

✓YES / NO

Whether Reportable:

YES / NO✓