

**CM-10197-CII of 2016 in
RA-CR-130-CII of 2016 in
CR No.5111 of 2015**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-10197-CII of 2016 in
RA-CR-130-CII of 2016 in
CR No.5111 of 2015
Date of Decision: 22.10.2016**

Tilak Raj

.....Petitioner

Vs

Rajinder Pal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Petitioner-in-person.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Applicant-petitioner seeks to review the order dated 24.02.2015 passed by this Court in Civil Revision No.5115 of 2015, whereby the revision petition was dismissed on merits.

Initially the petitioner appeared in person through his son Sh. Pardeep Kumar. Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate was requested to accept the assignment of arguing the case of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, the revision petition was ultimately dismissed on merits. Review

application was filed with some delay for which civil misc.
No.10197-CII of 2016 was filed by the petitioner.

Applicant-petitioner seeks to re-argue the case under
the garb of review application. He has also placed on record
handwritten submission on merits of the case. I am afraid that
no such submissions can be noticed under the scope of review.

In view of above, the application is found to be totally
bereft of merits and is accordingly dismissed. Consequently,
Civil Misc. No.10197-CII of 2016 for condonation of delay
becomes wholly inconsequential.

October 22, 2016

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No