

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Review Application No. 2 of 2015 (O&M) in
Letters Patent Appeal No. 1563 of 2012
Date of decision: 24.5.2016

Geeta Devi

**.. Non-applicant/
appellant**

v.

State of Haryana and others

**.. Applicants/
respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the non-applicant/appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Review of the order dated 21.1.2014 has been sought by the State.

The Letters Patent Appeal filed by the appellant was allowed directing for her appointment in the category of dependents of Freedom Fighter. The contention sought to be raised by the applicants is that only the left over seats from the category of Ex-serviceman (General) (Female) could be given to the category of dependents of Freedom Fighter. If the selected candidates had not joined, that would not automatically grant benefit to the residual category.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the non-applicant submitted that the appeal was disposed of on the statements made by learned counsel for the parties. As all the posts in the category of Ex-serviceman (General) (Female) were not filled up, the non-applicant was directed to be offered appointment. She was otherwise also in the waiting

list at No. 1, hence, no case is made out for review of the order.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we do not find any merit in the present review application. No doubt, in case suitable candidates were not available in the category of Ex-serviceman (General) (Female), the posts could go to the category of dependents of Freedom Fighter. All the posts in category of Ex-serviceman (General) (Female) were admittedly not filled up and the non-applicant was at No. 1 in the waiting list, hence, there is no error in the order earlier passed directing her appointment. There is no merit in the review application. Accordingly, the same is dismissed. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay is also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

24.5.2016
mmk