

**RA-CW-179-2016 in
CWP No.5304-2014**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-179-2016 in
CWP No.5304-2014
Date of Decision: 02.06.2016**

Khushwant Singh Sandhu and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Appellate Tribunal and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. S.S.Behl, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J.

Instant review application has been filed under Section 114 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure for review of the order dated 27.04.2016 on the ground that statement dated 14.09.2015 (Annexure P-17) got recorded by respondent No.3 before the Civil Court is required to be taken into consideration by this Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicants and perused the record.

Statement (Annexure P-17) recorded before the Civil Court

**RA-CW-179-2016 in
CWP No.5304-2014**

cannot be appreciated in exercise of the writ jurisdiction. The proceedings before the Civil Court are still pending and appreciation of the statement (Annexure P-17) at this stage will prejudice the rights of the parties in civil proceedings. The Civil Court is an independent remedy. Learned counsel for the applicants has not been able to make out a case which may warrant review of the order dated 27.04.2016. The writ petition was dismissed on merit. Learned counsel for the applicants has failed to show any error apparent on record.

Dismissed.

02.06.2016
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge