

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Varinder Singh
2016.09.30 13:53
Attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

VATAP No. 81 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.9.2016

M/s B. R. Knit Fabs

.. Appellant

VS

The State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

Coram: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**
 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Darshan Singh

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate, for the appellant.
 Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the order, which has been impugned in the present appeal, was rectified by the Tribunal in the subsequent order passed, hence, the prayer made in the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and the appellant will avail of his appropriate remedy against the order subsequently passed.

Learned counsel for the State does not have any objection to the prayer of the appellant being granted.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

29.9.2016
vs

(Darshan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No