

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

149 RA-183-CWP-2016 in CWP No.305 of 2016

Vickram Tuli

v.

State of Punjab and others

Present: Mr.H.C.Arora, Advocate,
for the applicant.

The present review application has been filed on the ground that answer keys of 5 questions in the said case had been objected to and vide judgment dated 18.5.2016, only two of the questions had been dealt with. Resultantly, questions No.10, 23 and 24 have not been dealt with. The said questions figured at Annexure R-4/6 in the compilation of CWP No.104 of 2016 which was the main case and placed on record by the respondent Board and figure at Serial No.3, 4 and 5.

Perusal of the said annexure of the main writ petition would go to show that the arguments apparently were not raised qua the said questions when the matter was argued at length on 3.3.2016 and 10.3.2016. This Court dealt with 9 questions and reasoning of the validity of the answer key was taken from the board counsel for each of them. Accordingly, benefit has been granted to the petitioners to the extent of one question.

Resultantly, by way of this review application, a fresh attempt to argue and to get the benefit of 3 more questions has been made which is not permissible under review.

Accordingly, the review application is dismissed.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

June 3, 2016
Paritosh Kumar