

**C.M. NOS.21324-25 CII OF 2016 &
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.274 CII OF 2016 IN
CIVIL REVISION NO.1787 OF 2015**

:{ 1 }:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. NOS.21324-25 CII OF 2016 &
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.274 CII OF 2016 IN
CIVIL REVISION NO.1787 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 11, 2016**

Shri Krishan Lal Sabharwal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Sh.Rajnish Gupta

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. A.P.S.Shergill, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.21324 CII of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

C.M. No.21325 CII of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condoning the delay of 197 days in refiling the review application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit of the applicant-petitioner, delay of 197 days in refiling the review application is condoned.

The application stands allowed.

**C.M. NOS.21324-25 CII OF 2016 &
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.274 CII OF 2016 IN
CIVIL REVISION NO.1787 OF 2015**

:{ 2 }:

Review Application No.274 CII of 2016

It has been submitted by counsel for the applicant-review petitioner that the order dated 06.01.2016 (Annexure A-1) may be reviewed.

I do not find any ground for review of order dated 06.01.2016 as the merits of impugned order dated 16.02.2015 have been considered by this Court and it is held that there is no illegality in the same. Counsel for the applicant-petitioner alleges that the word 'disposal' used in the final order would be an impediment to the petitioner to approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

This contention of counsel for the applicant-petitioner is totally misconceived and, therefore, the review application stands dismissed.

**November 11, 2016
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No